## Approved For Belo 1900001100 CLA PDP78-04718A00100027,0003-dical

Fred

8 October 54

MEMORANDUM TO: Colonel White

SUBJECT

: Proposed Regulation

"Immunization"

25X1A

- 1. Mr. Houston had asked for another reading from OGC on the cost standpoint (Paragraph 5).
- 2. Paragraph 1 of TAB A indicates that, in the opinion of the General Counsel, charges for innoculation for dependents may be allowed.
- 3. In Paragraph 2 of TAB A the General Counsel raises two questions:
  - a. Can dependents be reimbursed for expenses incurred in securing innoculation in connection with TDY under the provision of Paragraph 5?
  - b. Will the wording "for expenses incurred incident to and essential to securing necessary immunizations" require repeated definition?

25X1A9A

4. I checked with Mr. on the phone and he agreed that there would be no problem in view of the following:

a. The question raised in 3.a., above, is covered in Paragraph 2.a. of the proposed regulation which limits application of regulation to dependents on route to PCS stations.

b. The question raised in 3.b., above, is covered by the limitations posed in Paragraph 4 which require authorization for use of extra-Agency facilities for securing immunizations.

5. In view of the above, your signature is recommended.

25X1A9A

